An overview of automatic speaker diarization systems

Wang Jun CSLT, RIIT, THU

2012-10-27

Outline

- **1.** Introduction to Speaker Diarization
- 2. General architecture of Speaker Diarization
- 3. Main approaches for speaker diarization
- 4. Brief Introduction of Algorithm
- 5. Comparison and Combination
- 6. Traditional Distance Metrics
- 7. Evaluation approach
- 8. Current Research Directions
- 9. outlook

Introduction to Speaker Diarization

Speaker diarization is the task of determining "who spoke when?"

Involve determining the number of speakers and identifying the speech segments corresponding to each speaker.

➢A prepocessing for other downstream application. Such as speech retrieval, speech to text transcription and speaker recognition.



General architecture of Speaker Diarization



Main approaches for speaker diarization



Figure 2 Alternative clustering schemas

Brief Introduction of Algorithm



- Initialize clusters with the speech segments.
- •Merge/split closet clusters.
- •Update distances of remaining cluster to new cluster.
- •Iterate until stopping criterion is met.
- •Re-segmentation with GMM viterbi decoding.

Comparison and Combination

Bottom-up approach	Top-down	Combination
	approach	
Agglomerative	Divisive hierarchical	Treat top-down
hierarchical clustering.	clustering.	output as a base
Use segment to train	Use larger data to	segmentation
model is likely to capture	train small number of	and apply
more purer models.	models	bottom-up
Bur it may corresponding	Normalize both	output to purify
to a single speaker or a	phone class and	it.
phone class(short-term	speaker.	
feature)	Can be purified.	

Traditional Distance Metrics



0 The null hypothesis is that there is no speaker change at time t.

1 A speaker change point is hypothesized at time t

$$L_{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{x}} \log p(x_{i}|\theta_{z}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{y}} \log p(y_{i}|\theta_{z})$$
$$L_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{x}} \log p(x_{i}|\theta_{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{y}} \log p(y_{i}|\theta_{y}).$$

LLR criterion: $d_{\text{llr}} = L_1 - L_0$. BIC criterion: $d_{\text{bic}} = L_1 - L_0 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \cdot \Delta K \cdot \log N$

Evaluation approach

- Dataset: NIST has organized a series of benchmark evaluations.
 Ground truth: manual labeling of acoustic data.
- •DER is used as a results. It is composed as following figure.



DER=Speaker Error+False Alarm/Missed speech error+overlapped error



From features

time-delay features. Combine acoustic features and interchannel delay feature.

Prosodic features in diarization.

• Fusing short term and long term.

From models



• Use eigenvoice model to represent speaker.

From metrics

Such Reference Speaker Model proposed by Wang Gang,

New approaches

- non parametric
 - ➤ the agglomerative information bottleneck (aIB)
 - the sequential information bottleneck

To finding the most compact representation C of data X that minimizes the mutual information I(X,C) and preserves as much information as possible about Y (maximizing I(C, Y)). It can significant saving in computation.

Monte Carlo Markov Chains (MCMC) sampling method

speaker binary keys

• New approaches

 Bayesian machine learning not aim at estimating the parameters of a system (i.e. to perform point estimates), but rather the parameters of their related distribution (hyperparameters).

Bset model

$$\begin{split} m &= \arg max_m \, p(m|Y) = \arg max_m \, p(m) \, p(Y|m) / p(Y) \\ \text{Marginal likehood} \qquad p(Y|m) = \int \, d\theta \, p(Y|\theta,m) p(\theta|m) \end{split}$$

MAP to estimate $\theta_{MAP} = argmax_{\theta} p(\theta)p(Y|\theta)$

BIC
$$\log p(Y|m) = \log p(Y|m, \hat{\theta}) - \frac{\nu}{2} \log N$$

New approaches

Variational Bayes

$$\log p(Y|m) = \log \int d\theta dX p(Y, X, \theta|m)$$

Introduce a variational distribution and apply Jensen inequality

to define the upper bound on the marginal log likehood.

$$log p(Y|m) \geq \int d\theta dX log q(X)q(\theta) \frac{p(Y, X, \theta|m)}{q(X)q(\theta)} = \int d\theta q(\theta) [\int dXq(X) log \frac{p(Y, X|\theta, m)}{q(X)} + log \frac{p(\theta|m)}{q(\theta)}] = \int d\theta q(\theta) \int dXq(X) log p(Y, X|\theta, m) - \int dXq(X) log q(X) + log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta|m)} = F_m(q(X), q(\theta))$$

outlook

- Overlapped speech.
- Robust to unseen variations.
- More efficient in order to process increasing dataset sizes.

References

[1] X. Anguera, S. Bozonnet, N. Evans, C. Fredouille, G. Friedland, and O. Vinyals, "Speaker diarization: A review of recent research," IEEE TASLP Special Issue on New Frontiers in Rich Transcription, 2011.

[2] N. Evans, S. Bozonnet, D. Wang, C. Fredouille and R. Tronc. "A comparative study of bottom-up and top-down approaches to speaker diarization," Audio. Speech. and Language Processing. IEEE Transactions on Volume 20, 2012.
[3] J. Ajmera and I. McCowan, "Robust speaker change detection," IEEE Signal Process. Letters, vol. 11, pp. 649–651, 2004.

[4] D. Vijayasenan, F. Valente, and H. Bourlard, "Agglomerative information bottleneck for speaker diarization of meetings data," in Proc. ASRU, Dec. 2007, pp. 250–255.

[5] D. Reynolds, P. Kenny, and F. Castaldo, "A study of new approaches to speaker diarization," in *Proc. Interspeech. ISCA, 2009.*

[6] D. Vijayasenan, F. Valente, and H. Bourlard, "Combination of agglomerative and sequential clustering for speaker diarization," in Proc. ICASSP, Las Vegas, USA, 2008, pp. 4361–4364.

[7] F. Valente, "Variational Bayesian methods for audio indexing," Ph.D. dissertation, Thesis, 09 2005.

Thanks